Have we got the right ratio?

Attraction of brown marmorated stink bug to different pheromone ratios, and to different ratios of mixed pheromone and (E,E,Z)-methyl-2,4,6-decatrienoate (MDT)
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30 November 2016, somewhere west of Winchester, Virginia
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• Discovery by Sugie et al. 1996 of the aggregation pheromone of *Plautia stali*, the brown-winged green stink bug of Asia:
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Methyl (E,E,Z)-2,4,6-decatrienoate (MDT)

• Japanese trapping revealed MDT attraction of two other species: *Glaucias subpunctatus* and *Halyomorpha halys* (BMSB), the latter mainly in the late season.

• BMSB was first identified in the USA in 2001, having been introduced several years earlier in PA.

• Synthetic chemistry and trapping with of BMSB with MDT and its stereoisomers in USA.

Halyomorpha halys
Brown marmorated stink bug

Asian native responsive to MDT

... but in most years, almost exclusively late in the season

Figure 1: Seasonal changes in the number of *Halyomorpha halys* adults captured in traps baited with synthetic aggregation pheromone of *Plautia stali* in a coppice in Akita Prefecture in 2001, 2002, 2005 and 2006. Bars: total number of adults captured in 6 traps.

Captures using black pyramid traps baited with MDT (50mg) in VA & MD apple orchards, 2011

**Halyomorpha halys**
Brown marmorated stink bug

Asian native responsive to MDT

but usually only after harvest of apple crop, in late season

Leskey et al. 2012, Psyche 2012: 535062, Figure 5b. Also shown by Khrimian et al. 2007, J.Ag.Food Chem.56: 197-203.
• Harlequin bug (*Murgantia histrionica*) aggregation pheromone discovered and partially characterized by UC Riverside researchers; dubbed “murgantiol” \(=10,11\)-epoxy-1-bisabolen-3-ol with undefined stereochemistry (chiral centers: carbons 3,6,7,10)
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• Male brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys*, found to produce 10,11-epoxy-1-bisabolenol(s), but with undetermined stereostructures and biological activities (Dr. Hsiao-Yung Ho, Taiwan Academy of Science)
• Hsiao-Yung Ho shared this information on BMSB emissions with Jeff Aldrich at IIBBL, and Khrimian et al. (2014a,b), through very involved analytical and synthetic stereochemistry, including a “library” of 10,11-epoxy-1-bisabolen-3-ols, identified the specific components of both harlequin bug and BMSB aggregation pheromones!

![Chemical structures of Murgantia histrionica and Halyomorpha halys pheromones](image-url)
Back to ratios (=mixology), doses, and purity!

- ratio between pheromone components
  - most stink bug pheromones have at least two components (two each for BMSB & Hq bug)
  - stereoisomer synthesis is challenging
  - purity an issue (biological, economic)
- ratio between pheromone & other attractants
  - BMSB and Hq bug examples here too: BMSB with MDT (*Plautia stali* pheromone), and Hq bug with plant volatiles
  - optimal mix may change seasonally
  - economic issues for trapping, etc.
Back to ratios doses, and purity! – our bugs

• issue: individual stereoisomers are expensive; better to use a mixture – but only if it works!
• economical synthesis from $R$-citronellal produces 8 isomers – for each species, that’s 6 that are not part of pheromone
• synthesis also produces a different ratio than that emitted by either species:
  – for BMSB, SSRS:RSRS is 1:1.7 instead of 3.5:1!
  – for Hq bug, SSRS:SSRR is 1:1 instead of 1.4:1.
*H. halys* captures in pyramid traps with pheromone components loading: RSRS, 4mg; SSRS, 4mg; SSRS+RSRS, 4mg+1.1mg

5 randomized blocks, 8 June through 30 July 2013, Beltsville, Maryland

**Purity: How important for attraction?**

For BMSB, mixed-isomer preparations with equivalent principal component, (3S,6S,7R,10S)-10,11-epoxy-1-bisabolene-3-ol, attract comparable numbers of adult (equal male/female) and nymphal bugs in the field.

With... knowledge of seasonally-limited attraction of MDT
and... new availability of quantities of BMSB pheromone
as... an isomer mixture which we knew had comparable
attractiveness to pure isomers ...

We set up a simple factorial experiment:
- BMSB pheromone (mixed isomer with ~2mg of SSRS)
- MDT (66mg)
- Both
- Neither

(black pyramid traps, MD & WV, randomized complete blocks, season-long 2012 & in MD 2013)
Use of pheromone together with MDT produces synergistic attraction ...

Halyomorpha halys
Brown marmorated stink bug

pheromone is mixed-isomer synthetic lure with ~2mg of SSRS-murgantiol; MDT loaded 60-66mg.
Combined lure is superior over the entire season for adults

*Halyomorpha halys*
Brown marmorated stink bug

Halyomorpha halys
Brown marmorated stink bug

... and also provides superior season-long attraction in nymphs

Beltsville 2012
BMSB Nymphs

H. halys attraction to mixed-isomer pheromone lures of 0, 10, 100, and 1000mg (!), with 66mg MDT

August 2013, soybean, West Virginia

pheromone is mixed-isomer synthetic lure
Month-long Trial of
Ratio of two BMSB pheromone components
2016 West Virginia only

- 5 treatments, pheromone only (no MDT):
  - pure SSRS (major component)
  - 3.5:1 SSRS:RSRS (natural ratio of synthetic isomers)
  - 1:1.7 SSRS:RSRS (ratio in established synthesis)
  - pure RSRS (minor component)
  - blank

- 4 RCB, full-sized black pyramid traps, collected & re-randomized weekly
**Halyomorpha halys**
Brown marmorated stink bug

Captures at pyramid traps, Bardane WV with 4mg blends with **varied isomer ratio**

5-way field choice test 18 Aug – 16 Sept 2016

![Graph showing total captures of males and females for different treatments](image)

**Analysis:** $\chi^2$ goodness-of-fit test to equal catches by treatment, followed by two-tail exact binomial pairwise comparisons of adjacent totals, with Bonferroni correction to family-wise $\alpha=0.05$ (pairwise p must be < 0.0125 to be significant).

Morrison, Weber, et al., unpublished data
Season-long Trial of Ratio of BMSB pheromone and MDT
2016 Maryland & West Virginia

• Default starting point was one mixed pheromone lure (#20) which contains ~2mg of SSRS-murgantioi, plus 66mg \((E,E,Z)-2,4,6\)-MDT = “1:1”

• 7 treatments in Maryland, 5 in West Virginia:
  – 1:1, (1:3), (3:1), 3:3, 1:10, 10:1, and blank
  – May to October, 4 RCB, full-sized black pyramid traps, collected & re-randomized weekly
  – to see if 1:1 starting point was best for season-long capture, or would additional pheromone or MDT result in higher captures?
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Seasonal trends for high ratios, 2016
Added catch from increase in either or both components, compared to catch from current "1:1" lure.
Conclusions from BMSB Semiochemical Ratio trials 2016

As a season-long lure, the current combination (“1:1”) of mixed pheromone lure with ~2mg of SSRS-murgantiol, plus 66mg (E,E,Z)-2,4,6-MDT, is reliably attractive throughout the season (note which AI’s are quantified here).

For increased attraction in all months of BMSB activity, dose should be increased in both components, for example, to “3:3”. However, attraction is not very sensitive to the exact ratio (“more gives more”).

Deviations from current ratio are not critical. As expected from the experience with the two attractants alone, high ratios of pheromone:MDT tend to attract more in mid-season, whereas low ratios tend to attract more in late season.

Exact pheromone ratio (SSRS:RSRS) is not critical for attraction. The somewhat higher attractiveness of the natural stereoisomeric ratio to adult females, compared to the synthetic stereoisomeric mix (#20 or similar), may be easily compensated for, by increasing overall dose of the mixed pheromone.
Have we got the right ratio?
Have we got the right ratio?

pretty much, yes!
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